

# AUSTRALIAN PRODUCT INFORMATION

## Tamiflu<sup>®</sup> (oseltamivir phosphate)

### 1. NAME OF THE MEDICINE

Oseltamivir phosphate

### 2. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE COMPOSITION

#### **Tamiflu capsules**

Tamiflu 75 mg capsule contains 98.5mg of oseltamivir phosphate equivalent to 75mg oseltamivir.

Tamiflu 45 mg capsule contains 59.1 mg oseltamivir phosphate, equivalent to 45 mg of oseltamivir.

Tamiflu 30 mg capsule contains 39.4 mg of oseltamivir phosphate, equivalent to 30 mg of oseltamivir.

#### **Tamiflu 6mg/mL powder for oral suspension**

Each bottle, with 13 g powder for oral suspension, contains 0.5122 g of oseltamivir phosphate and when constituted with 55 mL water results in a concentration of 6 mg/mL of oseltamivir.

#### Excipients with known effect

##### *Tamiflu 6mg/mL powder for oral suspension*

5 ml oseltamivir suspension delivers 0.9 g of sorbitol.

7.5 ml oseltamivir suspension delivers 1.3 g of sorbitol.

10 ml oseltamivir suspension delivers 1.7 g of sorbitol.

12.5 ml oseltamivir suspension delivers 2.1 g of sorbitol.

For the full list of excipients, see section 6.1 List of excipients.

### 3. PHARMACEUTICAL FORM

#### **Tamiflu capsules**

Hard capsules

Tamiflu 75 mg capsules has a light yellow/opaque cap and a grey/opaque body. "ROCHE" is printed in blue ink on the grey body and "75 mg" is printed in blue ink on the light yellow cap.

Tamiflu 45 mg capsules has a grey/opaque cap and a grey/opaque body. "ROCHE" is printed in blue ink on the grey body and "45 mg" is printed in blue ink on the grey cap.

Tamiflu 30 mg capsules has a light yellow/opaque cap and a light yellow/opaque body. "ROCHE" is printed in blue ink on the yellow body and "30 mg" is printed in blue ink on the light yellow cap.

## **Tamiflu 6mg/mL powder for oral suspension**

Powder for oral suspension.

The powder is a granulate or clumped granulate with a white to light yellow colour.

## **4. CLINICAL PARTICULARS**

### **4.1 THERAPEUTIC INDICATIONS**

Tamiflu is indicated for the treatment of infections due to influenza A and B viruses in adults and children including full-term neonates. Treatment should commence as soon as possible, but no later than 48 hours after the onset of the initial symptoms of infection.

Tamiflu is indicated for the prevention of influenza in adults and children aged 1 year and older. Vaccination is the preferred method of routine prophylaxis against infection with influenza virus.

### **4.2 DOSE AND METHOD OF ADMINISTRATION**

#### **Dosage**

Tamiflu may be taken with or without food (see section 5.2 Pharmacokinetic properties). However, taking with food may enhance tolerability in some patients.

#### Treatment of Influenza

Treatment should begin within the first or second day of onset of symptoms of influenza.

#### *Adults and Adolescents*

The recommended oral dose of Tamiflu capsules in adults and adolescents  $\geq 13$  years of age is 75 mg twice daily, for 5 days. Adults and adolescents 13 years of age and older who are unable to swallow capsules may receive the appropriate dose of Tamiflu 6 mg/mL oral suspension (12.5 mL delivers a 75 mg dose) or home-prepared or pharmacy-compounded Tamiflu capsules (see instructions below).

#### *Children $\geq 1$ to $< 13$ years of age*

The recommended weight-adjusted dosing regimens of Tamiflu for children  $\geq 1$  year old are:

Body weight in kg	Recommended dose for 5 days	Volume of 6 mg/mL oral suspension
$\leq 15$ kg	30 mg twice daily	5.0 mL twice daily
$> 15 - 23$ kg	45 mg twice daily	7.5 mL twice daily
$> 23 - 40$ kg	60 mg twice daily	10.0 mL twice daily
$> 40$ kg	75 mg twice daily	12.5 mL twice daily

Children  $\geq 1$  year old who are able to swallow capsules may receive treatment with 30 mg, 45 mg or 75 mg capsules (one 30 mg capsule plus one 45 mg capsule may be used in place of a 75 mg capsule) twice daily.

Children  $\geq 1$  year old who are unable to swallow capsules may receive the appropriate dose of Tamiflu oral suspension or home-prepared or pharmacy-compounded Tamiflu capsules (see instructions below).

### *Children < 1 year of age*

The recommended oral dose of Tamiflu for children 0 to 12 months is 3 mg/kg twice daily for 5 days. These dosing recommendations are not intended for children who have a post-conceptual age of less than 36 weeks.

The recommended oral dose of Tamiflu for children < 1 year of age is\*:

<b>Body weight in kg</b>	<b>Recommended dose for 5 days</b>	<b>Volume of 6 mg/mL oral suspension</b>
3 kg	9 mg twice daily	1.5 mL twice daily
4 kg	12 mg twice daily	2.0 mL twice daily
5 kg	15 mg twice daily	2.5 mL twice daily
6 kg	18 mg twice daily	3.0 mL twice daily
7 kg	21 mg twice daily	3.5 mL twice daily
8 kg	24 mg twice daily	4.0 mL twice daily
9 kg	27 mg twice daily	4.5 mL twice daily
10 kg	30 mg twice daily	5.0 mL twice daily

\* This table is not intended to contain all possible weights for this population. For all patients under the age of 1 year of age, 3 mg/kg should be used to determine dose regardless of the weight of the patient.

For the oral suspension 3 mL and 10 mL oral dosing dispensers are provided.

It is recommended that Tamiflu powder for oral suspension be constituted by a pharmacist prior to dispensing to the patient.

### Prophylaxis of Influenza

#### *Adults and Adolescents*

The recommended oral dose of Tamiflu for prevention of influenza following close contact with an infected individual is 75 mg once daily for 10 days. Therapy should begin within two days of exposure. The recommended dose for prevention during a community outbreak of influenza is 75 mg once daily. Safety and efficacy have been demonstrated for up to six weeks. The duration of protection lasts for as long as dosing is continued.

Adults and adolescents 13 years of age and older who are unable to swallow capsules may receive the appropriate dose of Tamiflu 6 mg/mL oral suspension (12.5 mL delivers a 75 mg dose) or home-prepared or pharmacy-compounded Tamiflu capsules (see instructions below).

#### *Children ≥ 1 to < 13 years of age*

The recommended weight-adjusted prophylactic oral dosing regimens of Tamiflu for children ≥ 1 year old are:

<b>Body weight in kg</b>	<b>Recommended dose for 10 days</b>	<b>Volume of 6 mg/mL oral suspension</b>
≤ 15 kg	30 mg once daily	5.0 mL once daily
> 15 - 23 kg	45 mg once daily	7.5 mL once daily
> 23 - 40 kg	60 mg once daily	10.0 mL once daily
> 40 kg	75 mg once daily	12.5 mL once daily

Children  $\geq$  1 year old who are able to swallow capsules may receive treatment with 30 mg, 45 mg or 75 mg capsules. A 75 mg dose may be achieved with a 75 mg capsule once daily or one 30 mg capsule plus one 45 mg capsule once daily.

Children  $\geq$  1 year old who are unable to swallow capsules may receive the appropriate dose of Tamiflu oral suspension or home-prepared or pharmacy-compounded Tamiflu capsules (see instructions below).

For the oral suspension 3 mL and 10 mL oral dosing dispensers are provided.

It is recommended that Tamiflu powder for oral suspension be constituted by a pharmacist prior to dispensing to the patient.

## **Dosage adjustment**

### Hepatic Impairment

No dose adjustment is required for patients with mild or moderate hepatic dysfunction in the treatment or prevention of influenza (see section 5.2 Pharmacokinetic properties). The safety and pharmacokinetics in patients with severe hepatic impairment have not been studied.

No studies have been carried out in children with hepatic impairment.

### Renal Impairment

#### *Treatment of influenza*

In adults, no dose adjustment is necessary for patients with creatinine clearance above 60 mL/min. In patients with a creatinine clearance of  $> 30 - 60$  mL/min, it is recommended that the dose be reduced to 30 mg of Tamiflu twice daily for 5 days. In patients with a creatinine clearance of  $10 - 30$  mL/min, it is recommended that the dose is reduced to 30 mg of Tamiflu once daily, for 5 days. In patients undergoing routine haemodialysis, an initial dose of 30 mg of Tamiflu can be administered prior to the start of dialysis if influenza symptoms develop during the 48 hours between dialysis sessions. To maintain plasma concentrations at a therapeutic level, a dose of 30 mg should be administered after every haemodialysis session. For peritoneal dialysis, a dose of 30 mg of Tamiflu administered prior to the start of dialysis followed by further 30 mg doses administered every 5 days is recommended for treatment (see section 5.2 Pharmacokinetic properties). The pharmacokinetics of oseltamivir have not been studied in patients with end stage renal disease (i.e. creatinine clearance of  $< 10$  mL/min) not undergoing dialysis. Hence, dosing recommendation cannot be provided for this group.

#### *Prophylaxis of influenza*

In adults, no dose adjustment is necessary for patients with creatinine clearance above 60 mL/min. In patients with a creatinine clearance of  $> 30 - 60$  mL/min, it is recommended that the dose be reduced to 30 mg of Tamiflu once daily. In patients with creatinine clearance between  $10 - 30$  mL/min receiving Tamiflu it is recommended that the dose be reduced to 30 mg of Tamiflu every other day. In patients undergoing routine haemodialysis, an initial dose of 30 mg of Tamiflu can be administered prior to the start of dialysis. To maintain plasma concentrations at a therapeutic level, a dose of 30 mg should be administered after every alternate haemodialysis session. For peritoneal dialysis, an initial dose of 30 mg of Tamiflu administered prior to the start of dialysis followed by further 30 mg doses administered every 7 days is recommended for prophylaxis (see section 5.2 Pharmacokinetic properties). The pharmacokinetics of oseltamivir have not been studied in patients with end

stage renal disease (i.e. creatinine clearance of < 10 mL/min) not undergoing dialysis. Hence, dosing recommendation cannot be provided for this group.

#### Children with renal impairment

There is insufficient clinical data available in children with renal impairment to be able to make any dosing recommendation.

#### Immunocompromised Patients

##### *Treatment of Influenza*

The recommended duration for immunocompromised patients (adults and children aged 1 year and older) is 10 days. No dose adjustment is necessary unless there is evidence of moderate or severe renal impairment (see section 4.8 Adverse effects (Undesirable effects) and section 5.1 Pharmacodynamics properties, Clinical Trials). Treatment should be initiated as soon as possible after the onset of symptoms of influenza (section 5.2 Pharmacokinetic Properties, Immunocompromised Patients).

Treatment of adult immunocompromised patients, 18 years of age and older, with standard and double doses of oseltamivir for a duration of 10 days has been evaluated. No efficacy benefit was seen with 150mg BID compared to 75mg BID for 10 days however, significantly higher oseltamivir exposure (up to twice the exposure) were found with both standard and double dosing of oseltamivir in immunocompromised patients (see Section 5.2 Pharmacokinetic properties). Dosing adjustments may be required based on clinical and co-morbidities. For adult immunocompromised patients with renal impairment, doses should be adjusted as outlined in Section 4.2 Dose and Method of Administration.

##### *Prophylaxis of Influenza*

Seasonal prophylaxis in immunocompromised patients  $\geq$  1 year of age is recommended for 12 weeks. No dose adjustment is necessary.

#### Children < 1 year of age

The efficacy of Tamiflu has not been established in children < 1 year of age.

Pharmacokinetic data indicates that a dosage of 3 mg/kg twice daily in children 0 to 12 months of age provided plasma concentrations of the pro-drug and active metabolite that are anticipated to be clinically efficacious with a safety profile comparable to that seen in older children and adults (see section 5.2 Pharmacokinetic properties).

#### Elderly

No dose adjustment is required for elderly patients (aged  $\geq$  65 years) in the treatment or prevention of influenza unless there is co-existent renal impairment (see sections 5.2 Pharmacokinetic properties and 4.4 Special warnings and precautions for use).

#### Fructose Intolerance

A bottle of 13 g Tamiflu powder for oral suspension contains 11.142 g of sorbitol. For subjects with hereditary fructose intolerance, Tamiflu powder for oral suspension is not recommended.

#### Patients Unable to Swallow Capsules

When commercially manufactured Tamiflu powder for oral suspension is not readily available, adults, adolescents and children who are unable to swallow capsules may receive appropriate doses of Tamiflu prepared at home by parents or caregivers or prepared by a pharmacist.

## Method of Administration

### Home-prepared Tamiflu for adults, adolescents and children $\geq$ 1 year of age

Adults, adolescents and children who are unable to swallow capsules may receive their required dose of Tamiflu by following the instructions below.

1. Hold the Tamiflu capsule(s), corresponding to the required dose, over a small bowl. Carefully pull the capsule(s) open and pour the powder into the bowl,
2. Add a suitable, small amount (1 teaspoon maximum) of sweetened food product such as regular or sugar-free chocolate syrup, honey, light brown or table sugar dissolved in water, dessert toppings, sweetened condensed milk, apple sauce or yogurt to mask the bitter taste of the medication.
3. Stir the mixture well and give the entire contents to the patient. The mixture must be swallowed immediately after its preparation. If there is some mixture left inside the bowl, rinse the bowl with a small amount of water and have the patient drink this remaining mixture. It is not necessary to administer any undissolved white powder as this is inert material.

This procedure describes the preparation of a **15 mg/mL** solution.

If the patient requires a dose of Tamiflu, which is different to that available in capsule form, they may receive their appropriate dose of Tamiflu by following the instructions below.

1. Hold one Tamiflu 75 mg capsule over a small bowl. Carefully pull the capsule open and pour the powder into the bowl.
2. Using a graduated syringe, add 5 mL water to the powder. Stir for about two minutes.
3. Draw up into the syringe the correct amount of mixture from the bowl (see table below). The recommended dose is body weight dependent (see tables above).

Push down on the plunger of the syringe, to empty its entire contents into a second bowl. Discard any unused mixture.

<b>Recommended dose</b>	<b>Amount of Tamiflu 15 mg/mL mixture for one dose</b>
30 mg	2 mL
45 mg	3 mL
60 mg	4 mL

4. In the second bowl, add a suitable, small amount (1 teaspoon maximum) of sweetened food product such as regular or sugar-free chocolate syrup, honey (only for children two years or older), light brown or table sugar dissolved in water, dessert toppings, sweetened condensed milk, apple sauce or yogurt to the mixture to mask the bitter taste of the medication.

5. Stir this mixture well and give the entire contents of the second bowl to the patient. This mixture must be swallowed immediately after its preparation. If there is some mixture left inside the bowl, rinse the bowl with a small amount of water and have the patient drink this remaining mixture.

Pharmacy-compounded Tamiflu for adults, adolescents, children and infants  $\geq$  1 year of age  
 Commercially manufactured Tamiflu for oral suspension 6 mg/mL is the preferred product for paediatric and adult patients who have difficulty in swallowing capsules or where lower doses are needed. In the event that Tamiflu for oral suspension is not available, the pharmacy may compound a suspension (6 mg/mL) from Tamiflu capsules.

This procedure describes the preparation of a **6 mg/mL** suspension, which will provide one patient with enough medication for a 5-day course of treatment or a 10-day course of prophylaxis.

The pharmacist may compound a suspension (**6 mg/mL**) from Tamiflu 30 mg, 45 mg or 75 mg capsules using water containing 0.05% w/v sodium benzoate added as a preservative.

**First**, calculate the total volume needed to be compounded and dispensed to provide a 5-day course of treatment or a 10-day course of prophylaxis for the patient. The total volume of compounded Tamiflu **6 mg/mL** suspension required is determined by the weight of the patient according to the recommendation in the table below:

**Volume of Pharmacy Compounded Suspension (6 mg/mL) Required for a 5-day course based upon the patient's weight**

Body Weight (kg)	Total Volume to Compound per Patient Weight (mL)
Up to 5kg	25mL
5 to 6 kg	30 mL
>6 to 15 kg	50 mL
> 15 to 23 kg	75 mL
> 23 - 40 kg	100 mL
> 40 kg	125 mL

**Second**, determine the number of capsules and the amount of vehicle (water containing 0.05% w/v sodium benzoate added as a preservative) that is needed to prepare the total volume (calculated from the table above: 25 mL, 30 mL, 50 mL, 75 mL, 100 mL or 125 mL) of compounded Tamiflu **6 mg/mL** suspension as shown in the table below:

**Number of capsules and amount of vehicle needed to prepare total volume of a pharmacy compounded suspension (6 mg/mL)**

Total Volume of Compounded Suspension to be Prepared	Required Number of Tamiflu Capsules (mg of oseltamivir)			Required Volume of Vehicle
	75 mg	45 mg	30 mg	
25 mL	2 capsules (150 mg)	Please use alternative capsule strength*	5 capsules (150 mg)	24.5 mL
30 mL	Please use alternative capsule strength*	4 capsules (180 mg)	6 capsules (180 mg)	29.5 mL
50 mL	4 capsules (300 mg)	Please use alternative capsule strength*	10 capsules (300 mg)	49.5 mL

Total Volume of Compounded Suspension to be Prepared	Required Number of Tamiflu Capsules (mg of oseltamivir)			Required Volume of Vehicle
	75 mg	45 mg	30 mg	
60 mL	Please use alternative capsule strength*	8 capsules (360 mg)	12 capsules (360 mg)	59 mL
75 mL	6 capsules (450 mg)	10 capsules (450 mg)	15 capsules (450 mg)	74 mL
90 mL	Please use alternative capsule strength*	12 capsules (540 mg)	18 capsules (540 mg)	89 mL
100 mL	8 capsules (600 mg)	Please use alternative capsule strength*	20 capsules (600 mg)	98.5 mL
120 mL	Please use alternative capsule strength*	16 capsules (720 mg)	Please use alternative capsule strength*	118.5 mL
125 mL	10 capsules (750 mg)	Please use alternative capsule strength*	Please use alternative capsule strength*	123.5 mL

\* No integral number of capsules can be used to achieve the target concentration; therefore, please use an alternative capsule strength.

**Third**, follow the procedure below for compounding the suspension (**6 mg/mL**) from Tamiflu capsules:

1. Transfer the contents of the stated amount of Tamiflu capsules into the bottle and add the stated amount of sodium benzoate solution (table above).
2. Close the bottle with the child resistant cap and shake for two minutes.
3. Put an ancillary label on the bottle indicating ‘Shake Gently Before Use’.
4. Instruct the parent or caregiver to discard any remaining solution after the patient has completed the full course of therapy.
5. Please an appropriate expiration date label according to storage condition (see section 6.4 Special precautions for storage).

Place a pharmacy label on the bottle that includes the patient’s name, dosing instructions (see section 4.2 Dose and method of administration for dosing instructions), use by date, medicine name and any other required information to be in compliance with local pharmacy regulations.

Dispense the suspension with a graduated oral syringe for measuring small amounts of suspension. If possible, mark or highlight the graduation corresponding to the appropriate dose (1 mL, 2 mL, 3 mL, 4 mL or 5 mL) on the oral syringe for each patient.

The appropriate dose must be mixed by the caregiver with an equal quantity of sweet liquid food, such as sugar water, chocolate syrup, cherry syrup, dessert toppings (like caramel or fudge sauce) to mask the bitter taste.



### Preparation of Oral Suspension

It is recommended that Tamiflu **6 mg/mL** oral suspension be constituted by the pharmacist prior to dispensing to the patient:

1. Tap the closed bottle several times to loosen the powder.
2. Measure 55 mL of purified water by filling the measuring cup to the indicated level (measuring cup included in the carton).
3. Add the total amount of purified water to the bottle and shake the closed bottle well for 15 seconds.
4. Remove the cap and push bottle adapter into neck of the bottle.
5. Close bottle with cap tightly. This will make sure that the bottle adapter fits in the bottle in the right position.
6. Write the date of expiry of the constituted oral suspension on the bottle label. The shelf life of the constituted oral suspension is 10 days if stored at room temperature [below 25 °C] or 17 days if stored in a refrigerator [between 2 - 8 °C].

Note: Shake Tamiflu oral suspension well before each use.

### **4.3 CONTRAINDICATIONS**

Tamiflu is contraindicated in patients with known hypersensitivity to oseltamivir phosphate or to any components of the product.

### **4.4 SPECIAL WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS FOR USE**

Tamiflu is a specific treatment for infections due to influenza A or B viruses. Use should be limited to patients who have characteristic symptoms of influenza when influenza A or B virus infections have been documented locally. Data on the treatment of influenza B are limited.

There is no current evidence for the safety or efficacy of oseltamivir in persons with complications of an acute influenza episode such as viral or bacterial pneumonia. Such patients may require extensive supportive and adjunctive care. Antiviral therapy has not been shown to reduce the need for such care and monitoring.

Efficacy of oseltamivir in the treatment of subjects with chronic cardiac diseases/or respiratory diseases has not been established.

Safety and efficacy of repeated treatment or prophylaxis courses have not been studied.

#### **Sorbitol**

Tamiflu powder for oral suspension contains sorbitol. For subjects with hereditary fructose intolerance, Tamiflu powder for oral suspension is not recommended.

Sorbitol may have a laxative effect or cause diarrhoea.

A bottle of 13 g Tamiflu powder for oral suspension contains 11.142 g of sorbitol.

- 30 mg oseltamivir suspension delivers 0.9 g of sorbitol.
- 45 mg oseltamivir suspension delivers 1.3 g of sorbitol.
- 60 mg oseltamivir suspension delivers 1.7 g of sorbitol.
- 75 mg oseltamivir suspension delivers 2.1 g of sorbitol.

### **Immunocompromised Patients**

The efficacy of oseltamivir in either treatment or prophylaxis of influenza in immunocompromised patients has not been firmly established. Limited data are available (see Section 5.1 Pharmacodynamic properties, Clinical Trials).

### **Pharmaceutical Precautions**

Direct contact of oseltamivir phosphate with the skin and eyes should be avoided as it is a potential skin sensitiser and eye irritant.

### **Use in Renal Impairment**

For dose adjustments in patients with renal impairment refer to sections 5.2 Pharmacokinetic properties and 4.2 Dose and method of administration.

### **Paediatric Use**

Data are not available for infants aged 0 to 2 weeks. It is reasonable to propose that term neonates can receive the same 3 mg/kg dose given the risk posed by influenza to the very young and the likelihood that exposures in the term neonate will not be markedly different to those seen in infants 2 to 8 weeks old.

No studies have been carried out in children with hepatic impairment.

There is insufficient clinical data available in children with renal impairment to be able to make any dosing recommendation.

### **Use in the Elderly**

Limited numbers of subjects aged  $\geq 65$  years old have been included in the clinical trials. However, on the basis of drug exposure and tolerability, dose adjustments are not required for elderly patients unless there is co-existent renal impairment (see sections 5.2 Pharmacokinetic properties and 4.2 Dose and method of administration).

### **Effects on laboratory tests**

Elevated liver enzymes have been reported in patients with influenza-like illness receiving oseltamivir.

## **4.5 INTERACTION WITH OTHER MEDICINES AND OTHER FORMS OF INTERACTION**

Information derived from pharmacology and pharmacokinetic studies of oseltamivir phosphate suggest that clinically significant drug interactions are unlikely.

Oseltamivir phosphate is rapidly converted to the active metabolite by esterases, located predominantly in the liver. Drug interactions involving competition for esterases have not

been extensively reported in the literature. These esterases have been shown not to be saturable at concentrations of oseltamivir 100 times those which occur during treatment. Therefore, drug interactions caused by competition for these enzymes are highly unlikely.

In vitro studies demonstrated that neither oseltamivir phosphate nor the active metabolite is a good substrate for P450 mixed-function oxidases or for glucuronyl transferases. As a result, drug interactions involving P450 isozymes are unlikely.

Oseltamivir is a weak substrate in vitro for the P-glycoprotein transport system; however, no adverse event for oseltamivir or the concomitant administered drug, which could be due to an interaction at the P-glycoprotein level, has been detected.

Cimetidine has no effect on plasma levels of oseltamivir or its active metabolite.

Clinically important drug interactions involving competition for renal tubular secretion are unlikely, due to the known safety margin for most of these drugs, the elimination characteristics of the active metabolite (glomerular filtration and anionic tubular secretion) and the excretion capacity of these pathways.

No pharmacokinetic interactions between oseltamivir or its major metabolite have been observed when co-administering oseltamivir with paracetamol, acetyl-salicylic acid (aspirin), cimetidine, antacids (magnesium and aluminium hydroxides and calcium carbonates), warfarin, rimantadine or amantadine.

There is no mechanistic basis for an interaction with oral contraceptives.

Drug interaction studies have not been undertaken with oseltamivir and a number of drugs and drug classes, including erythromycin and macrolide antibiotics, theophylline derivatives and antihistamines.

Co-administration with amoxicillin does not alter plasma levels of either compound, indicating that competition for the anionic pathway is weak.

Co-administration of probenecid, a potent inhibitor of the anionic pathway of renal tubular secretion, results in an approximate 2-fold increase in exposure to the active metabolite of oseltamivir, although no dose adjustment is required when co-administering with probenecid in patients with normal renal function.

## **4.6 FERTILITY, PREGNANCY AND LACTATION**

### **Effects on Fertility**

No effect on male or female fertility was observed in rats exposed to oseltamivir phosphate. The highest dose has approximately 180 times the human systemic exposure (AUC) to the active metabolite.

### **Use in Pregnancy – Category B1**

Studies for effects on embryo-foetal development were conducted in rats (at doses up to 1500 mg/kg/day) and rabbits (at doses up to 500 mg/kg/day) by the oral route. Relative exposures in these studies were 180 times human exposure (AUC<sub>0-24h</sub> of the active metabolite) in the rat and 50 times human exposure in the rabbit. Foetal exposure in both species was approximately 15 – 20% of that of the mother. In the rat study, minimal maternal toxicity was reported in the 1500 mg/kg/day group. In the rabbit study, slight and marked maternal

toxicities were observed, respectively, in the 150 and 500 mg/kg/day groups. The duration of parturition was increased in rats at oral doses of 1500 mg/kg/day of oseltamivir phosphate, 180 times human exposure ( $AUC_{0-24h}$ ), but it was not affected at 500 mg/kg/day (approximately 40 times human exposure). Oseltamivir phosphate was not teratogenic in these studies.

Animal reproductive studies may not be predictive of human response, and there are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. A large amount of data from pregnant women exposed to oseltamivir (more than 1000 exposed outcomes during the first semester) from post-marketing reports and observational studies in conjunction with animal studies (see Section 5.3 Preclinical safety data) indicate that there are unlikely to be direct or indirect harmful effects with respect to pregnancy or embryonal/foetal development. Tamiflu should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the pregnant woman and/or foetus.

The safe use of oseltamivir during labour and delivery has not been established.

### **Use in Lactation**

In lactating rats, oseltamivir and the active metabolite are excreted in milk. Very limited information is available on children breast-fed by mothers taking Tamiflu and on excretion of oseltamivir in breast milk. Limited data demonstrated that oseltamivir and the active metabolite were detected in breast milk at very low levels. Tamiflu should be used in lactating mothers only if the potential benefit for the lactating mother justifies the potential risk of exposure of the medicine to the nursing infant.

## **4.7 EFFECTS ON ABILITY TO DRIVE AND USE MACHINES**

No studies on the effects on the ability to drive and to use machines have been performed. The pharmacological activity and adverse events reported to date do not indicate such an effect is likely.

## **4.8 ADVERSE EFFECTS (UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS)**

### **Clinical Trials**

The overall safety profile of Tamiflu is based on data from more than 2646 adults/adolescents and 859 paediatric patients with influenza, and on data from more than 1943 adult/adolescent and 148 paediatric patients receiving Tamiflu for the prophylaxis of influenza in clinical trials. In adult/adolescent treatment studies, the most frequently reported adverse drug reactions (ADRs) were nausea, vomiting and headache. The majority of these ADRs were reported on a single occasion, occurred on either the first or second treatment day and resolved spontaneously within 1 – 2 days. In adult/adolescent prophylaxis studies, the most frequently reported ADRs were nausea, vomiting, headache and pain. In children, the most commonly reported ADR was vomiting. In the majority of patients, these events did not lead to discontinuation of Tamiflu.

### Treatment and Prophylaxis of Influenza in Adults and Adolescents

In adult/adolescent treatment and prophylaxis studies, ADRs that occurred the most frequently ( $\geq 1\%$ ) at the recommended dose (75 mg twice daily for 5 days for treatment and 75 mg once daily for up to 6 weeks for prophylaxis), and whose incidence is at least 1% higher on Tamiflu compared to placebo, are shown in Table 5.

The population included in the influenza treatment studies comprised of otherwise healthy adults/adolescents and patients “at risk” (patients at higher risk of developing complications associated with influenza, e.g. elderly patients and patients with chronic cardiac or respiratory disease). In general, the safety profile in the patients “at risk” was qualitatively similar to that in otherwise healthy adults/adolescents.

The safety profile reported in the subjects that received the recommended dose of Tamiflu for prophylaxis (75 mg once daily for up to 6 weeks) was qualitatively similar to that seen in the treatment studies (see Table 1), despite a longer duration of dosing in the prophylaxis studies.

***Tabulated summary of adverse drug reactions from clinical trials***

Adverse drug reactions from clinical trials are listed according to the MedDRA system organ class. The corresponding frequency category for each adverse drug reaction (Table 1) is based on the following convention: very common ( $\geq 1/10$ ); common ( $\geq 1/100$  to  $< 1/10$ ); uncommon ( $\geq 1/1,000$  to  $< 1/100$ ); rare ( $\geq 1/10,000$  to  $< 1/1,000$ ); very rare ( $< 1/10,000$ ).

**Table 1: Summary of Adverse Reactions in  $\geq 1\%$  of adult and adolescent patients that received oseltamivir for treatment or prophylaxis of influenza, in clinical studies (difference to placebo  $\geq 1\%$ )**

System Organ Class	Treatment Studies		Prophylaxis		Frequency category <sup>a</sup>
	Tamiflu (75 mg twice daily) <i>n</i> = 2646		Tamiflu (75 mg twice daily) <i>n</i> = 1943		
<i>Gastrointestinal Disorders</i>					
Nausea	10%		8%		very common
Vomiting	8%		2%		common
<i>Nervous System Disorders</i>					
Headache	2%		17%		very common
<i>General Disorders</i>					
Pain	< 1%		4%		common

<sup>a</sup> Frequency category is reported only for the Tamiflu group.

### Treatment and Prophylaxis of Influenza in Elderly

There were no clinically relevant differences in the safety profile of the 942 subjects 65 years of age and older, who received Tamiflu or placebo, compared with the younger population (aged up to 65 years).

### Treatment and Prophylaxis of Influenza in Immunocompromised Patients

The treatment of influenza in immunocompromised patients was evaluated in two studies receiving standard dose or high dose regimens (double dose or triple dose) of Tamiflu (see section 5.1 Pharmacodynamics properties, Clinical Trials). The safety profile of Tamiflu observed in these studies, was consistent with that observed in previous clinical trials where Tamiflu was administered for treatment of influenza in non-immunocompromised patients across all age groups (otherwise healthy patients or “at risk” patients [i.e., those with respiratory and/or cardiac co-morbidities]). The most frequent ADR reported in immunocompromised children was vomiting (28%).

A 12-week prophylaxis study in 475 immunocompromised patients, including 18 children 1 – 12 years old, showed that the safety profile in the 238 subjects receiving Tamiflu was consistent with that previously observed in Tamiflu prophylaxis clinical trials.

### Treatment and Prophylaxis of Influenza in Children > 1 year of age

A total of 1481 paediatric patients (including otherwise healthy children aged 1 – 12 years old and asthmatic children aged 6 – 12 years old) participated in clinical studies investigating the use of Tamiflu in the treatment of influenza. A total of 859 paediatric patients received treatment with Tamiflu suspension.

The ADRs that occurred in  $\geq 1\%$  of children aged 1 – 12 years receiving Tamiflu in the clinical trials for treatment of naturally acquired influenza ( $n = 859$ ), and whose incidence is at least 1% higher on Tamiflu compared to placebo ( $n = 622$ ), is vomiting (16% on oseltamivir vs. 8% on placebo). Amongst the 148 children who received the recommended dose of Tamiflu once daily in a post-exposure prophylaxis study in households ( $n = 99$ ), and in a separate 6-week paediatric prophylaxis study ( $n = 49$ ), vomiting was the most frequent ADR (8% on Tamiflu vs. 2% in the no prophylaxis group). Tamiflu was well tolerated in these studies and the adverse events noted were consistent with those previously observed in paediatric treatment studies.

### Children < 1 year of age

In two studies to characterise the pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and safety profile of oseltamivir therapy in 124 influenza infected children less than one year of age, the safety profile was similar among age cohorts with vomiting, diarrhoea and nappy rash being the most frequently reported AEs (see section 5.2 Pharmacokinetic properties, Special populations). Insufficient data are unavailable for children who have a post-conceptual age of less than 36 weeks.

Safety information available on Tamiflu administered for treatment of influenza in children less than 1 year of age from prospective and retrospective observational trials (comprising together more than 2400 children of that age class), epidemiological database research and post-marketing reports suggest that the safety profile in children less than 1 year of age is similar to the established safety profile of children aged 1 year and above.

## **Post-Marketing Experience**

The following adverse events have been identified during post-marketing use of Tamiflu.

Because these events are reported voluntarily from a population of uncertain size, it is not possible to reliably estimate their frequency and/or establish a causal relationship to Tamiflu exposure.

*Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders:* hypersensitivity reactions such as allergic skin reactions including dermatitis, rash, eczema and urticaria, erythema multiforme, allergy, anaphylactic/anaphylactoid reactions, face oedema, Stevens-Johnson-Syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis have been reported.

*Hepatobiliary disorders:* hepatitis and elevated liver enzymes have been reported in patients with influenza-like illness receiving oseltamivir.

*Psychiatric disorders/Nervous system disorders:* convulsion and delirium (including symptoms such as altered level of consciousness, confusion, abnormal behaviour, delusions, hallucinations, agitation, anxiety and nightmares) have been reported during Tamiflu administration in patients with influenza, predominately in children and adolescents. These events often had an abrupt onset and rapid resolution. In rare cases, these events resulted in accidental injury, and some resulted in a fatal outcome, however, the contribution of Tamiflu to those events is unknown. Such neuropsychiatric events have also been reported in patients with influenza who were not taking Tamiflu. Three separate large epidemiological studies confirmed that influenza-infected patients receiving Tamiflu are at no higher risk of developing neuropsychiatric events in comparison to influenza-infected patients not receiving antivirals.

Patients with influenza should be closely monitored for signs of abnormal behaviour throughout the treatment period.

*Gastrointestinal disorders:* gastrointestinal bleeding was observed after the use of Tamiflu. In particular, haemorrhagic colitis was reported and subsided when the course of influenza abated or treatment with Tamiflu was interrupted.

*Blood and lymphatic system disorders:* thrombocytopenia.

*Eye disorders:* visual disturbances.

### **Reporting of suspected adverse effects**

Reporting suspected adverse reactions after registration of the medicinal product is important. It allows continued monitoring of the benefit-risk balance of the medicinal product. Healthcare professionals are asked to report any suspected adverse reactions at [www.tga.gov.au/reporting-problems](http://www.tga.gov.au/reporting-problems).

## **4.9 OVERDOSE**

Treatment of overdose should consist of general supportive measures.

Reports of overdoses with Tamiflu have been received from clinical trials and during post-marketing experience. In the majority of cases reporting overdose, no adverse events were reported.

Adverse events reported following overdose were similar in nature and distribution to those observed with therapeutic doses of Tamiflu, described in section 4.8 Adverse effects (Undesirable effects).

For information on the management of overdose, contact the Poisons Information Centre on 13 11 26 (Australia).

## 5. PHARMACOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

### 5.1 PHARMACODYNAMIC PROPERTIES

Pharmacotherapeutic group: Antivirals for systemic use, neuraminidase inhibitors ATC code: J05AH02

#### Mechanism of Action

Oseltamivir phosphate is a pro-drug of the active metabolite, oseltamivir carboxylate. The active metabolite is a selective inhibitor of influenza virus neuraminidase enzymes, which are glycoproteins found on the virion surface. Viral neuraminidase is essential for the release of recently formed virus particles from infected cells and the further spread of infectious virus in the body. A study in cultured tracheobronchial epithelial cells and primary nasal epithelial cells has shown that oseltamivir may also suppress virus entry to cells.

#### In Vitro Susceptibility Tests

Antiviral susceptibility and development of resistance to oseltamivir is usually discussed in the context of cell culture experiments involving Madin-Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) virus reduction assay and/or neuraminidase inhibition assay (NA IC<sub>50</sub>). The concentrations of oseltamivir carboxylate required for inhibition of influenza virus were highly variable depending on the assay method used and the virus tested. Oseltamivir carboxylate showed antiviral activity in the low nano-molar range in all these cell assays.

*In vitro* neuraminidase enzyme IC<sub>50</sub> (NA IC<sub>50</sub>) values for oseltamivir-susceptible clinical isolates of influenza A ranged from 0.1 – 1.3 nM and for influenza B from 2.6 – 8.7 nM.

Reduced susceptibility to oseltamivir carboxylate has been recovered *in vitro* by passage of virus in the presence of increasing concentrations of oseltamivir carboxylate. *In vitro* NA IC<sub>50</sub> assays showed that the degree of reduced sensitivity (IC<sub>50</sub>) differs markedly for different mutations from 2-fold for resistant variant with the I222V mutation in influenza A N1 to 30 000-fold for resistant variant with the R292K mutation in influenza A N2.

The relationship between the *in vitro* antiviral activity in cell culture and the inhibition of influenza virus replication in humans has not been established.

#### Viral Resistance

##### Reduced sensitivity of viral neuraminidase

*Clinical studies:* The risk of emergence of influenza viruses with reduced susceptibility or resistance to oseltamivir has been examined in clinical studies (see Table 2). In children, a higher proportion of resistance was observed compared to adults and adolescents. In some paediatric patients, oseltamivir-resistant virus was detected for a longer period than in with patients with an oseltamivir-sensitive virus. Patients (paediatrics and adults) with an oseltamivir-resistant virus generally experienced longer viral shedding than patients with a susceptible virus. However, treatment-emergent resistance showed no apparent effect on treatment response.



An overall higher incidence of oseltamivir-resistance was observed in adult and adolescent immunocompromised patients, treated with standard dose or double dose of oseltamivir for a duration of 10 days [14.5% (10/69) in standard dose group and 2.7% (2/74) in double dose group], compared to data from studies with oseltamivir-treated otherwise healthy adult and adolescent patients. The majority of adult patients that developed resistance were transplant recipients (8/10 patients in the standard dose group and 2/2 patients in the double dose group). Most of the patients with oseltamivir-resistant virus were infected with influenza type A and had prolonged viral shedding.

The incidence of oseltamivir-resistance observed in immunocompromised children, treated with Tamiflu across the two studies evaluated for resistance was 20.7% (6/29). Of the six immunocompromised children with treatment-emergent resistance to oseltamivir, three patients had received a standard dose and three patients a high dose (double or triple dose). The majority had acute lymphoid leukaemia and were  $\leq 5$  years of age.

**Table 2: Incidence of Oseltamivir Resistance in Clinical Studies**

Patient Population	Patients with Resistance Mutations (%)	
	Phenotyping*	Genotyping and Phenotyping*
Adults and Adolescents	21/2382 (0.88%)	27/2396 (1.13%)
Children (1 – 12 years)	71/1726 (4.11%)	78/1727 (4.52%)
Infants <1 year	13/71 (18.31%)	13/71 (18.31%)

\* Full genotyping was not performed in all studies.

*Prophylaxis of Influenza:* In clinical studies conducted in post-exposure (7 days), post-exposure within household groups (10 days) and seasonal (42 days) prophylaxis of influenza in immunocompetent persons, there was no evidence for emergence of drug resistance associated with the use of Tamiflu. There was no resistance observed during a 12-week seasonal prophylaxis study in immunocompromised subjects.

*Clinical and surveillance data:* Natural mutations associated with reduced susceptibility to oseltamivir *in vitro* have been detected in influenza A and B viruses isolated from patients without exposure to oseltamivir. For example, in 2008 the oseltamivir resistance-associated substitution H275Y was found in > 99 % of circulating 2008 H1N1 influenza isolates in Europe, while the 2009 H1N1 influenza (“swine flu”) was almost uniformly susceptible to oseltamivir. Resistant strains have also been isolated from both immunocompetent and immunocompromised patients treated with oseltamivir. The susceptibility to oseltamivir and the prevalence of such viruses appears to vary seasonally and geographically. Oseltamivir resistance has also been reported in patients with pandemic H1N1 influenza in connection with both therapeutic and prophylactic regimens.

The rate of emergence of resistance may be higher in the youngest age groups, and in immunocompromised patients. Oseltamivir-resistant viruses isolated from oseltamivir-treated patients and oseltamivir-resistant laboratory strains of influenza viruses have been found to contain mutations in N1 and N2 neuraminidases. Resistance mutations tend to be viral sub-type specific.

Prescribers should consider available information on influenza virus drug susceptibility patterns for each season when deciding whether to use Tamiflu (for the latest information, please refer to WHO and/or local government websites).

### Cross-Resistance

Cross-resistance between zanamivir-resistant influenza mutants and oseltamivir-resistant influenza mutants has been observed *in vitro*. Due to limitations in the assays available to detect drug-induced shifts in virus susceptibility, an estimate of the incidence of oseltamivir-resistance and possible cross-resistance to zanamivir in clinical isolates cannot be made. However, two of the three oseltamivir-induced mutations (E119V, H274Y and R292K) in the viral neuraminidase from clinical isolates occur at the same amino acid residues as two of the three mutations (E119G/A/D, R152K and R292K) observed in zanamivir-resistant virus.

### Clinical trials

#### Treatment of Influenza in Adults

A total of 1355 patients were included in two phase III multicentre, placebo-controlled trials in naturally acquired influenza which were conducted in the Northern Hemisphere influenza season of 1997 – 1998 (Studies WV15670 & WV15671). An identical trial (Study WV15730) followed in the Southern Hemisphere winter of 1998 where 60 patients were recruited. The population used in the primary analyses was the intent-to-treat infected (ITTI) population. This population included only subjects who received at least one dose of study treatment and had laboratory-confirmed influenza. The intent-to-treat (ITT) population included all subjects who took at least one dose of study medication, regardless of whether they proved to have influenza. The results for the two pivotal studies are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

#### *Studies WV15670 and WV15671*

Studies WV15670 and WV15671 were multicentre, double blind, randomised, parallel group studies with the objective of assessing the safety and antiviral efficacy of Tamiflu. Subjects who enrolled in these studies presented with symptoms of influenza defined as:

- **fever** (defined as body temperature  $\geq 38$  °C)
- **plus one respiratory symptom** [cough, sore throat, nasal symptoms (rhinorrhoea/congestion)]
- **plus one constitutional symptom** [headache, malaise (feeling unwell), myalgia (aches and pains), sweats/chills (feeling feverish), prostration (fatigue)].

Subjects were randomised to receive either 75 mg Tamiflu twice daily, 150 mg Tamiflu twice daily or placebo twice daily for a period of 5 days, commencing up to 36 hours, later amended to 48 hours after the reported onset of symptoms.

**Table 3: Median Time (hours) to Alleviation of All Symptoms in the ITTI and ITT Populations**

Study		Placebo (95% CI)	Tamiflu 75 mg bd (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value*
WV15671	ITTI	<i>n</i> = 129 103.3	<i>n</i> = 124 71.5	<0.0001

Study		Placebo (95% CI)	Tamiflu 75 mg bd (95% CI)	p-value*
		(92.6 - 118.7)	(60.0 - 83.2)	
	ITT	n = 200 97.0 (86.3 - 113.6)	n = 204 76.3 (66.3 - 89.2)	0.004
<b>WV15670</b>	ITTI	n = 161 116.5 (101.5 - 137.8)	n = 158 87.4 (73.3 - 104.7)	0.0168
	ITT	n = 235 116.1 (99.8 - 129.5)	n = 240 97.6 (79.1 - 115.3)	0.0506

ITT Intent-to-treat; ITTI Intent-to-treat infected;\* Difference between medians

**Table 4: Summary of Secondary Efficacy Results (Median and 95% Confidence Interval) from the Studies in the Treatment of Naturally Acquired Influenza**

Study (Protocol Number(s)) Treatment group	AUC of total symptom score (h)	Time to become afebrile (h)	AUC of virus titre (log <sub>10</sub> TCID <sub>50</sub> .h/mL)	Duration of virus shedding (h)
<b>Study WV15671</b>				
• Placebo (n = 129)	962.6 <sup>#</sup>	64.6 (59.2 - 76.3)	126.7 <sup>#</sup>	70.2 (68.0 - 71.4)
• Tamiflu 75 mg twice daily (n = 124)	597.1 <sup>#</sup>	41.5 (34.0 - 48.0)	111.4 <sup>#</sup>	66.8 (64.6 - 68.8)
p-value*	<0.0001	Not calculated	0.2951	0.0332
<b>Study WV15670</b>				
• Placebo (n = 161)	943.0 <sup>#</sup>	73.5 (64.0 - 86.4)	130.8 <sup>#</sup>	71.0 (70.2 - 73.5)
• Tamiflu 75 mg twice daily (n = 158)	773.3 <sup>#</sup>	43.6 (36.0 - 54.4)	78.2 <sup>#</sup>	70.2 (67.5 - 71.4)
p-value*	0.0073	Not calculated	0.0259	0.0917

n = number of subjects in the intent to treat infected population; \* Comparison of placebo with Tamiflu

# 95% confidence interval not calculated

*Primary efficacy parameter:* Time to alleviation of all symptoms was significantly reduced by up to 30 hours in both the 75 mg and 150 mg active treatment groups compared with placebo, demonstrating a more rapid recovery for subjects on Tamiflu. Treatment with Tamiflu resulted in a reduced median time to alleviation of all of the seven defined influenza symptoms. No increase in efficacy was demonstrated in subjects who received Tamiflu 150 mg twice daily compared to 75 mg twice daily.

*Secondary efficacy parameters:* Both doses of Tamiflu significantly reduced the median total symptom score AUC (measure of extent and severity of illness) by up to 40% compared to placebo. The duration of virus shedding was also reduced in subjects treated with Tamiflu.

Temperature AUC was reduced in Tamiflu-treated subjects compared with placebo. Fewer subjects reported fever following dosing with Tamiflu, despite a lower consumption of symptom relief medication (paracetamol) by the Tamiflu groups compared to the placebo group. This was in addition to a marked reduction in the time taken for subjects on Tamiflu to return to an afebrile state during the treatment interval compared with placebo.

Based on studies WV15670, WV15671 and WV15730, the overall incidence of secondary illnesses (bronchitis, otitis media, sinusitis, and pneumonia at least 48 hours after the start of treatment in confirmed cases of influenza) requiring antibiotic medication was 11/301 (3.7%) in Tamiflu-treated (75 mg twice daily) patients compared to 23/309 (7.4%) in placebo-group. The treatment difference was 3.8% (95% CI 0.2%, 7.4%; p=0.052) in favour of Tamiflu. Subjects treated with Tamiflu rated their health, activity and quality of sleep to be better than patients on placebo during the dosing period. Moreover, treatment with Tamiflu was associated with a reduction in time taken to return to normal (pre-influenza) health status and ability to perform daily activity.

#### Treatment of Influenza in Adolescents, Adults and Elderly – Study M76001

In a recent study which included adolescents, adults and elderly patients (13 – 80 years), time to alleviation of all symptoms was significantly reduced by up to 24.2 hours in patients treated with Tamiflu. There was a significant reduction of the median total symptom score AUC in the treatment group compared to placebo. Consistent with other studies, temperature AUC, number of patients with fever and the time to afebrile state were reduced in Tamiflu treated subjects compared with placebo. There was also a reduced need for patients receiving Tamiflu to take symptom relief medication (paracetamol).

#### Treatment of Influenza in High Risk Populations – Study WV15758/872

In a separate study, patients aged > 13 years with influenza and co-existing chronic cardiac and/or respiratory disease received Tamiflu 75 mg or placebo twice daily. No difference in the median time to alleviation of all symptoms was seen between patients taking Tamiflu or placebo. However, the duration of febrile illness was reduced by approximately one day in the Tamiflu treatment group. The number of patients shedding virus on days 2 and 4 was also markedly reduced in those treated with Tamiflu. There was no difference in the safety profile of Tamiflu in the at-risk populations compared to the general adult population.

#### Prevention of Influenza in Adults and Adolescents

The efficacy of Tamiflu in preventing naturally occurring influenza illness has been demonstrated in three seasonal prophylaxis studies and a post-exposure prophylaxis study in households. The primary efficacy parameter for all these studies was the incidence of laboratory-confirmed clinical influenza. Laboratory-confirmed clinical influenza was defined as oral temperature  $\geq 37.2$  °C /99.0 °F plus at least one respiratory symptom (cough, sore throat, nasal congestion) and at least one constitutional symptom (aches and pain, fatigue, headache, chills/sweats), all recorded within 24 hours, plus either a positive virus isolation or a 4-fold increase in virus antibody titres from baseline.

In a pooled analysis of two seasonal prophylaxis studies in healthy unvaccinated adults (aged 18 – 65 years), Tamiflu 75 mg once daily taken for 42 days during a community outbreak reduced the incidence of laboratory-confirmed clinical influenza from 4.8% (25/519) for the placebo group to 1.2% (6/520) for the Tamiflu group.

In a seasonal prophylaxis study in elderly residents of nursing homes, Tamiflu 75 mg once daily taken for 42 days reduced the incidence of laboratory-confirmed clinical influenza from

4.4% (12/272) for the placebo group to 0.4% (1/276) for the Tamiflu group. About 80% of this elderly population were vaccinated, 14% of subjects had chronic airway obstructive disorders and 43% had cardiac disorders.

In a post-exposure prophylaxis study, household contacts (aged  $\geq 13$  years) who had no laboratory evidence of influenza at baseline, and who were living with an index case who was subsequently shown to have had influenza infection, were randomised to treatment (the intent-to-treat index-infected, not infected at baseline [ITTIINAB] population). In this population, Tamiflu 75 mg administered once daily within 2 days of onset of symptoms in the index case and continued for 7 days, reduced the incidence of laboratory-confirmed clinical influenza in the contacts from 12% (24/200) in the placebo group to 1% (2/205) for the Tamiflu group (risk reduction 91.9%,  $p < 0.001$ ). For the study population as a whole (the ITT population), including contacts of index cases in whom influenza infection was not confirmed, the incidence of laboratory-confirmed clinical influenza was reduced from 7.4% (34/462) in the placebo group to 0.8% (4/493) for the Tamiflu group (risk reduction 89%,  $p < 0.001$ ). Index cases did not receive Tamiflu in the study. In the ITT population, 13.9% of contacts in the placebo group and 11.4% of contacts in the Tamiflu group had been vaccinated.

#### Treatment of Influenza in Children

One double-blind placebo controlled treatment trial was conducted in children, aged 1 – 12 years old (mean age 5.3 years old), who had fever ( $\geq 37.8$  °C) plus one respiratory symptom (cough or coryza) when influenza virus was known to be circulating in the community. Of 698 patients enrolled in this trial, 452 (65%) were influenza-infected (50% male; 68% Caucasian). Of the 452 influenza-infected patients, 67% were infected with influenza A and 33% with influenza B.

The primary endpoint in this study was the time to freedom from illness, a composite endpoint which required 4 individual conditions to be met. These were: alleviation of cough, alleviation of coryza, resolution of fever, and parental opinion of a return to normal health and activity. Tamiflu treatment of 2 mg/kg twice daily, started within 48 hours of onset of symptoms, significantly reduced the total composite time to freedom from illness by 1.5 days compared to placebo. The median time to freedom from illness in the intent-to-treat infected (ITTI) population was 5.7 days in the placebo group and 4.2 days in patients treated with Tamiflu. In the intent-to-treat population (ITT), the median time to freedom from illness was 5.2 days in the placebo group and 4.4 days in patients treated with Tamiflu. The median time to freedom from illness was significantly reduced in the subgroup of patients infected with influenza A and treated with Tamiflu, compared to patients infected with influenza B and treated with Tamiflu (not statistically significant). The proportion of patients developing acute otitis media was reduced by 40% in children receiving Tamiflu compared to placebo. Subgroup analyses of this study by gender showed no differences in the treatment effect of Tamiflu in males and females.

A second study was conducted in 334 asthmatic children aged 6 – 12 years of age, 53.6% of whom were influenza-positive. The median time to freedom from illness was reduced by 8% in patients treated with Tamiflu compared to placebo (not statistically significant). By day 6 (the last day of treatment) FEV<sub>1</sub> had increased by 10.8% in the Tamiflu-treated group compared to 4.7% in the placebo group ( $p = 0.0148$ ) although there was no difference in the use of asthma medication between groups.

### Prevention of Influenza in Children – Study WV16193

The efficacy of oseltamivir in preventing naturally occurring influenza illness has been demonstrated in a post-exposure prevention study in households that included adults, adolescents, children aged 1 – 12 years old, both as index cases and as family contacts. The primary efficacy parameter for this study was the incidence of laboratory-confirmed clinical influenza in the households. Oseltamivir prophylaxis lasted for 10 days (prophylactic efficacy in adults and adolescents  $\geq$  13 years old has previously been demonstrated with a 7 day dosing regimen [see above]).

In the total population, there was a reduction in the incidence of laboratory-confirmed clinical influenza in households from 20% (27/136) in the group not receiving prevention to 7% (10/135) in the group receiving prevention (62.7% reduction, [95% CI 26.0 - 81.2];  $p = 0.0042$ ). In households of influenza-infected index cases, there was a reduction in the incidence of influenza from 26% (23/89) in the group not receiving prevention to 11% (9/84) in the group receiving prevention (58.5% reduction, [95% CI 15.6 - 79.6];  $p = 0.0114$ ).

According to subgroup analysis in children 1 – 12 years of age, the incidence of laboratory-confirmed clinical influenza among children was significantly reduced from 19% (21/111) in the group not receiving prevention to 7% (7/104) in the group receiving (64.4% reduction, [95% CI 15.8 - 85.0];  $p = 0.01$ ; ITT). Among children who were not already shedding virus at baseline, the incidence of laboratory-confirmed clinical influenza was reduced from 21% (15/70) in the group not receiving prevention to 4% (2/47) in the group receiving prevention (80.1% reduction, [95% CI 22.0 - 94.9];  $p = 0.0206$ ; ITTIINAB) (see Table 5).

**Table 5: Incidence of Influenza Infection among Paediatric Contacts**

Population	Number of Contacts 1-12 years	Influenza-infected Contacts			Index Case Infected	% Protective efficacy of oseltamivir	<i>p</i> -value
		P	T	Total			
Overall ITT	215	7 (7%)	21 (19%)	28	24	64.4	0.01
ITTII	129	6 (11%)	18 (24%)	24	24	55.2	0.089
ITTIINAB	117	2 (4%)	15 (24%)	17	24	80.1	0.0206

P = prophylaxis; T = treatment; ITTII = intent-to-treat index-infected; ITTIINAB = intent-to-treat index-infected, not infected at baseline.

### Prophylaxis of Influenza in Immunocompromised Patients

A double-blind, placebo controlled study was conducted for seasonal prophylaxis of influenza in 475 immunocompromised subjects, including 18 children 1 – 12 years old. Laboratory-confirmed clinical influenza, as defined by RT-PCR plus oral temperature  $\geq 37.2$  °C/99.0 °F plus cough and/or coryza, all recorded within 24 hours, was evaluated. Among subjects who were not already shedding virus at baseline, Tamiflu reduced the incidence of laboratory-confirmed clinical influenza from 3.0% (7/231) in the group not receiving prophylaxis to 0.4% (1/232) in the group receiving prophylaxis (see Table 6).

**Table 6: Incidence of Influenza Infection in Immunocompromised Patients**

Population	Placebo n/N (%)	Tamiflu 75 mg once daily n/N (%)	Treatment effect <sup>a</sup>	95% CI for difference in proportions between treatments <sup>b</sup>	p-value <sup>c</sup>
Overall ITT	7/238 (2.9%)	5/237 (2.1%)	28.3%	-2.3% to 4.1%	0.772
ITTII	7/238 (2.9%)	2/237 (0.8%)	71.3%	-0.6% to 5.2%	–
ITTIINAB	7/231 (3.0%)	1/232 (0.4%)	85.8%	0.1% to 5.7%	–

<sup>a</sup> Treatment effect = (1 – Relative Risk) \*100%; <sup>b</sup> Calculated using Newcombe’s method of combining Wilson score intervals without continuity correction; <sup>c</sup> Comparison of Placebo versus Tamiflu using Fisher’s exact test  
ITTII = intent-to-treat index-infected; ITTIINAB = intent-to-treat index-infected, not infected at baseline.

*Treatment of influenza in immunocompromised patients (children, adolescents, and adults):*

A randomized, double blind study, to evaluate safety and characterise the effects of oseltamivir on the development of resistant influenza virus (primary analysis) in influenza-infected immunocompromised patients, included 151 adult patients, 7 adolescents and 9 children evaluable for efficacy of oseltamivir (secondary analysis, not powered). The study included solid organ transplant [SOT] patients, haematopoietic stem cell transplant [HSCT] patients, HIV positive patients with a CD4+ cell count <500 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, patients on systemic immunosuppressive therapy, and those with haematological malignancy. These patients were randomized to be treated, within 96 hours of symptoms onset for a duration of 10 days. The treatment regimens were: standard dose 75mg twice daily (73 adult patients, 4 adolescent patients and 4 children) or double dose, 150mg twice daily (78 adult patients, 3 adolescent patients and 5 children) of oseltamivir, weight adjusted for children.

The median time to resolution of symptoms (TTRS) for adults and adolescents was similar between the standard dose group (103.4 hours [95% CI 75.4-122.7]) and double dose group (107.2 hours [95% CI 63.90-140.0]). The TTRS for children was highly variable and the interpretation is limited by the small sample size.

The proportion of adult patients with secondary infections in the standard dose group and double dose group was comparable (8.2% vs 5.1%). For adolescents and children, only one patient (an adolescent) in the standard dose group experienced a secondary infection (bacterial sinusitis). The TTRS in all oseltamivir-treated adult immunocompromised patients (combined from both dose groups) was shorter when compared to matched placebo-treated otherwise healthy (reduced by 14 hours) and “at risk” patients (reduced by 60 hours), from previous studies.

A pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics study was conducted in severely immunocompromised children (≤12 years of age, n=30) receiving weight adjusted standard (75 mg twice daily) vs. triple dose (225 mg twice daily) oseltamivir for an adaptive dosing period of 5-20 days dependant on the duration of viral shedding (mean treatment duration: 9 days). No patients in the standard dose group and 2 patients in the triple dose group reported secondary bacterial infections (bronchitis and sinusitis).

## 5.2 PHARMACOKINETIC PROPERTIES

### Absorption

Oseltamivir is absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract after oral administration of oseltamivir phosphate and is converted predominantly by hepatic esterases to the active metabolite. In multiple dose studies the peak concentration of the active metabolite occurs 2 – 3 hours after dosing. Following an oral dose of 75 mg twice daily, the peak concentration ( $C_{max}$ ) of the active metabolite is approximately 350 – 400 ng/mL. At least 75% of an oral dose reaches the systemic circulation as the active metabolite. Exposure to the pro-drug is less than 5% relative to the active metabolite. Plasma concentrations of the active metabolite are unaffected by co-administration with food (see section 4.2 Dose and method of administration).

### Distribution

The active metabolite reaches all key sites of influenza infection as shown by studies in the ferret, rat and rabbit. In these studies, anti-viral concentrations of the active metabolite were seen in the lung, bronchoalveolar lavage, nasal mucosa, middle ear and trachea, following oral administration of oseltamivir phosphate.

The mean volume of distribution ( $V_{ss}$ ) of the active metabolite is approximately 23 L in humans. The binding of the active metabolite to human plasma protein is negligible (approximately 3%).

### Metabolism

Oseltamivir is extensively converted to the active metabolite by esterases located predominantly in the liver. Neither oseltamivir nor the active metabolite is a substrate for, or an inhibitor of, the major cytochrome P450 isoforms. Thus, interactions mediated by competition for these enzymes are unlikely.

### Excretion

Absorbed oseltamivir is primarily (> 90%) eliminated by conversion to the active metabolite. Peak plasma concentrations of the active metabolite decline with a half-life of 6 – 10 hours in most subjects. The active metabolite is not further metabolised and is eliminated entirely (> 99%) by renal excretion. Renal clearance (18.8 L/h) exceeds glomerular filtration rate (7.5 L/h) indicating that tubular secretion (via the anionic pathway) in addition to glomerular filtration occurs. Less than 20% of an oral radiolabelled dose is eliminated in faeces.

### Pharmacokinetics in Special Populations

#### Renal impairment

Administration of 100 mg of Tamiflu twice daily, for 5 days, to patients with various degrees of renal impairment showed that exposure to the active metabolite is inversely proportional to renal function.

A population pharmacokinetic model describing the impact of creatinine clearance (CrCL) on oseltamivir and oseltamivir carboxylate pharmacokinetics was developed and qualified for simulation using 80 subjects with varying degrees of renal function. Subjects had dense pharmacokinetic profiles and were identified from three clinical studies; a study in subjects with either normal renal function or mild, moderate or severe renal impairment (WP15648) and two studies in healthy subjects receiving a range of single (WP15517) or multiple doses of oseltamivir (WP15525). Simulations were performed and suitable regimens using



available capsule formulations were selected on the basis to provide oseltamivir carboxylate exposures considered safe and efficacious in clinical trials.

Refer to section 4.2 Dose and method of administration, for recommended dosing for patients with severe, moderate and mild renal impairment.

Two clinical studies were performed to evaluate the pharmacokinetic, safety and tolerability of oseltamivir and oseltamivir carboxylate in end stage renal disease patients undergoing haemodialysis (HD) and continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD). In study PP15974 patients undergoing either CAPD or HD received a single 75 mg capsule of oseltamivir, whereas in study NP16472 patients received 30 mg oseltamivir oral suspension for 6.5 weeks, with CAPD patients receiving a single dose per week and HD patients a dose after alternate dialysis sessions. In order to assist in determining appropriate dosing recommendations in HD, a population pharmacokinetic model for HD was constructed and qualified for simulation. Suitable regimens using available capsule formulations were selected on their basis to achieve oseltamivir carboxylate plasma trough levels in subjects with normal renal function dosed at 75 mg twice daily for treatment, or 75 mg oseltamivir given orally once daily for prophylaxis.

Refer to section 4.2 Dose and method of administration, for recommended dosing for patients with end stage renal disease undergoing haemodialysis and continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis.

#### Hepatic impairment

Based on *in vitro* and animal studies, significant increases in exposure to oseltamivir or its metabolite are not expected and this has been confirmed in clinical studies in patients with mild or moderate hepatic impairment. The pharmacokinetics of a single oral dose of oseltamivir 75 mg have been established in moderately hepatic impaired (Child-Pugh score 7 – 9) patients. Results of the study showed that  $C_{max}$  and AUC of active metabolite of oseltamivir in the 12 hepatic impaired patients fell within the therapeutic margin of safety and efficacy. The safety and pharmacokinetics in patients with severe hepatic impairment have not been studied (see section 4.2 Dose and method of administration).

#### Elderly

Exposure to the active metabolite at steady-state was approximately 25% higher in elderly patients (age range 65 – 78 years old) compared to young adults given comparable doses of Tamiflu. Half-lives observed in elderly patients were similar to those seen in young adults. On the basis of drug exposure and tolerability, dosage adjustments are not required for elderly patients for either treatment or prophylaxis of influenza unless there is co-existent renal impairment (see sections 4.4 Special warnings and precautions for use and 4.2 Dose and method of administration).

#### Pregnant women

A pooled population pharmacokinetic analysis predicted that pregnancy has a significant effect on apparent clearance (CL) of oseltamivir carboxylate. In pregnant patients treated with Tamiflu 75mg twice daily, CL was 63%, 47% and 14% higher in trimester 1, 2 and 3 respectively compared to non-pregnant subjects. The systemic exposure ( $AUC_{24}$ ) was predicted to be 36%, 34% and 15% lower in trimester 1, 2 and 3 respectively compared to non-pregnant subjects. However, this predicted exposure is expected to have activity against susceptible influenza virus strains and there are insufficient pharmacokinetic and safety data

to recommend a dose adjustment for pregnant women (see section 4.6 Fertility, pregnancy and lactation).

### ***Immunocompromised Patients***

Population pharmacokinetic analyses indicate that treatment of adult and paediatric (<18 years old) immunocompromised patients with oseltamivir results in an increased exposure (of up to 50%) to the active metabolite when compared to non-immunocompromised patients with comparable creatinine clearance. However, due to the wide safety margin of the active metabolite, no dose adjustments are required in immunocompromised patients. However, for immunocompromised patients with renal impairment, doses should be adjusted as outlined in Section 4.2. Dose and Method of Administration. The population pharmacodynamic analyses indicated that treatment initiation one day after the onset of symptoms was associated with a more rapid decline in virus load than treatment initiation 2, 4, 7 or 10 days after the onset of symptoms.

Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic analyses from two studies in immunocompromised patients indicated that there was no meaningful additional benefit in exposures higher than those achieved after the administration of the standard dose (see section 5.1 Pharmacodynamics properties, Clinical Trials).

#### Children $\geq$ 1 year of age

The pharmacokinetics of Tamiflu have been evaluated in pharmacokinetic studies in children aged 1 – 16 years old. Multiple dose pharmacokinetics were studied in a small number of children aged 3 – 12 years old enrolled in a clinical trial. The rate of clearance of the active metabolite, corrected for bodyweight, was faster in younger children, than in adults, resulting in lower exposure in these children for a given mg/kg dose. Doses of 2 mg/kg and unit doses of 30 and 45 mg, administered to children in the appropriate categories according to the recommendation in section 4.2 Dose and method of administration, yield oseltamivir carboxylate exposures comparable to those achieved in adults receiving a single 75 mg capsule dose (approximately 1 mg/kg). With advancing age, the difference in exposure between children and adults (per mg/kg dose) lessened to the extent that the exposure in children over 12 years of age was similar to that in adults (see sections 4.4 Special warnings and precautions for use and 4.2 Dose and method of administration).

#### Children < 1 year of age

The pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and safety of Tamiflu have been evaluated in two open-label studies including influenza infected children less than one year of age (n = 124). The rate of clearance of the active metabolite, corrected for body-weight, decreases with ages below one year. Metabolite exposures are also more variable in the youngest infants. The available data indicates that the exposure following a 3 mg/kg dose in children 0 – 12 months of age provides pro-drug and metabolite exposures anticipated to be efficacious with a safety profile comparable to that seen in older children and adults using the approved dose. The reported adverse events were consistent with the established safety profile in older children.

## **5.3 PRECLINICAL SAFETY DATA**

### **Genotoxicity**

Oseltamivir phosphate was found to be non-genotoxic in the Ames test and the human lymphocyte chromosome assay, with or without metabolic activation, and negative in the mouse micronucleus test. It was found to be positive in a Syrian Hamster Embryo (SHE) cell

transformation test. The active metabolite of oseltamivir phosphate was non-mutagenic in the Ames test and the L5178Y mouse lymphoma assay and negative in the SHE cell transformation test.

### **Carconogenicity**

A two-year carcinogenicity study with oseltamivir phosphate in rats was negative at oral doses up to 500 mg/kg/day, resulting in respective relative systemic exposures (based on AUC0-24h maximum clinical dose of 75 mg twice daily) to oseltamivir phosphate and its active metabolite of 352 times and 52 times, respectively.

A two-year carcinogenicity study with oseltamivir phosphate in mice was negative at oral doses up to 400 mg/kg/day, resulting in respective relative systematic exposures (based on AUC0-24h, maximum clinical dose of 75 mg twice daily) to oseltamivir phosphate and its active metabolite of 130 times and 15 times, respectively.

A 26-week dermal carcinogenicity study of oseltamivir carboxylate in FVB/Tg.AC transgenic mice was negative when tested at doses up to 780 mg/kg/day.

### **Toxicology**

In unweaned rats a single oral dose of oseltamivir phosphate 500 mg/kg (free base equivalent) to 7-day old pups resulted in deaths associated with high exposure to the prodrug. However, at 1520 mg/kg in 14-day old unweaned pups, there were no deaths or other significant effects. No adverse effects occurred at 300 mg/kg administered to 7-day old rats. This dose level resulted in maximum plasma concentrations of 42.4 µg/mL for the prodrug and 9.4 µg/mL for the active metabolite, and maximum brain concentrations of 10.7 µg/g for the prodrug and 0.54 µg/g for the active metabolite. Based on the correlation between mortality and plasma exposure across the dose-range, the prodrug, but not the active metabolite, appears to underlie the toxicity in 7-day old juvenile rats.

## **6. PHARMACEUTICAL PARTICULARS**

### **6.1 LIST OF EXCIPIENTS**

#### **Tamiflu capsules**

Pregelatinised maize starch  
Purified talc  
Povidone  
Croscarmellose sodium  
Sodium stearyl fumarate

#### Capsule shell

Gelatin  
Titanium dioxide  
Iron oxide red  
Iron oxide yellow  
Iron oxide black  
Shellac  
Indigo carmine

#### **Tamiflu 6mg/mL powder for oral suspension**

Xanthan gum  
Sodium dihydrogen citrate  
Sodium benzoate

Sorbitol  
Saccharin sodium  
Titanium dioxide  
Tutti-Frutti flavouring.

## **6.2 INCOMPATIBILITIES**

Not applicable

## **6.3 SHELF LIFE**

In Australia, information on the shelf life can be found on the public summary of the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods (ARTG). The expiry date can be found on the packaging.

## **6.4 SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS FOR STORAGE**

### **Tamiflu capsules**

Store below 25 °C.

### **Tamiflu 6mg/mL powder for oral suspension**

Store below 25 °C.

After constitution, the suspension can be stored at room temperature (below 25 °C) for up to 10 days or in a refrigerator (2 to 8 °C) for up to 17 days. Tamiflu oral suspension should not be frozen.

After pharmacy compounding of Tamiflu capsules the 6 mg/mL suspension can be stored at room temperature (below 25 °C) for up to 3 weeks (21 days) or in a refrigerator (2 to 8 °C) for up to 6 weeks. Pharmacy-compounded Tamiflu suspension should not be frozen.

Home-prepared Tamiflu mixture must be swallowed immediately after preparation.

## **6.5 NATURE AND CONTENTS OF CONTAINER**

### **Tamiflu capsules**

Tamiflu 30 mg, 45 mg and 75 mg capsules are available in blister packages of 10 capsules.

### **Tamiflu 6mg/mL powder for oral suspension**

100 mL bottle with 13 g of white to light yellow powder for constitution.

Tamiflu suspension is supplied with a plastic adapter, a plastic oral dispenser and a measuring plastic cup.


## **6.6 SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS FOR DISPOSAL**

The release of medicines into the environment should be minimised. Medicines should not be disposed of via wastewater and disposal through household waste should be avoided.

In Australia, any unused medicine or waste material should be disposed of by taking to your local pharmacy.

## 6.7 PHYSIOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES

### Chemical structure



The chemical name (3R,4R,5S)-4-acetylamino-5-amino-3-(1-ethylpropoxy)-1-cyclohexene-1-carboxylic acid, ethyl ester, phosphate (1:1). The chemical formula is C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (free base). The molecular weight is 312.4 for oseltamivir free base and 410.4 for oseltamivir phosphate salt.

Oseltamivir phosphate is a white crystalline solid, highly soluble in water (> 500 mg/mL).

### CAS number

204255-11-8

## 7. MEDICINE SCHEDULE (POISONS STANDARD)

Schedule 4 – Prescription Only Medicine

## 8. SPONSOR

Roche Products Pty Limited  
ABN 70 000 132 865  
Level 8, 30-34 Hickson Road  
Sydney NSW 2000  
AUSTRALIA

Medical enquiries: 1800 233 950

## 9. DATE OF FIRST APPROVAL

3 September 2000

## 10. DATE OF REVISION

30 April 2021

### Summary table of changes

Section Changed	Summary of new information
4.2	Treatment of influenza, Immunocompromised patients information updated.
4.8	Treatment and Prophylaxis of Influenza in Immunocompromised Patients section has been updated with the latest trial data.
5.1	Viral Resistance and Clinical Trial sections have been updated with the latest trial data.
5.2	Immunocompromised Patients has been updated with the latest trial data.